	Case 2:23-cv-00474-DAD-DMC Docume	nt 21 Filed 10/23/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHARIDAN STILES,	No. 2:23-CV-0474-DAD-DMC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	PROCTOR & GAMBLE COMPANY,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the	
18	Court is Plaintiff's motion, ECF No. 9, for default judgment. Plaintiff's motion will be denied as	
19	premature because Plaintiff has not requested, nor has the Clerk of the Court entered, Defendant's	
20	default on the docket. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55. To the extent the Court construes Plaintiff's	
21	motion as a request for entry of default, such request will be denied because, as Defendant notes	
22	in its opposition to Plaintiff's motion, service has not been properly accomplished pursuant to	
23	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.1	
24	///	
25	While Plaintiff has submitted a proof of service showing service on Proctor &	
26	Gamble Company via an agent in California, and while this can provide prima facie evidence of proper service of process, this prima facie evidence can be overcome with a showing that service	
27	was improper. See SEC v. Internet Solutions for Bus., Inc., 509 F.3d 1161, 1166 (9th Cir. 2007). Defendant's opposition and supporting declarations make such a showing. Specifically, as	
28	demonstrated by Defendant's documentation, Corporation with an agent for service of proce	
	1	

## Case 2:23-cv-00474-DAD-DMC Document 21 Filed 10/23/23 Page 2 of 2

1 Plaintiff will be provided an opportunity to effect service of process pursuant to 2 method described in Rule 4 for corporate defendants. Plaintiff should note that, as established by 3 Defendant's opposition and supporting declarations, Defendant is an Ohio Corporation and 4 information concerning service can be obtained from the Ohio Secretary of State's Office. 5 Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to effect proper service of process within the time provided in 6 this order may result in dismissal of this action. See Local Rule 110; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 7 4(m). 8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 9 1. Plaintiff's motion for default judgment, ECF No. 9, is DENIED as 10 premature. 11 2. To the extent Plaintiff's motion is construed as a request for entry of 12

default by the Clerk of the Court, such request is DENIED.

3. Plaintiff shall serve Defendant in accordance with the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure and file a return of service within 60 days of the date of this order.

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15

16

13

14

Dated: October 23, 2023

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28